IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ALBANY DIVISION

TROY BOONE, :

Petitioner,

:

vs. : 1:05-CV-157(WLS)

BILLY TOMPKINS, Warden

PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254

Respondent. : <u>BEFORE THE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u>

ORDER

The above named petitioner filed this petition seeking a writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Based upon the allegations set forth in the affidavit filed herein, the Court authorizes the commencement of this action without prepayment of fees and costs or security. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

Because the instant petition was filed pursuant to the provision of 28 U.S.C. § 2254 the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts are applicable rather than the provisions of the Official Georgia Code of Georgia Annotated. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within thirty (30) days of the date of this order, the petitioner shall amend his petition to include every unalleged possible constitutional error or deprivation entitling him to federal habeas corpus relief, failing which the petitioner will be presumed to have deliberately waived his right to complain of any constitutional errors or deprivations other than those set forth in his habeas petition. If amended, the petitioner will be presumed to have deliberately waived his right to complain of any constitutional errors or deprivations other than those set forth in his amended habeas petition. See Rule 9(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

Case 1:05-cv-00157-WLS Document 4 Filed 11/10/05 Page 2 of 3

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the respondent file an answer to the allegations of the

petition and any amendments within sixty (60) days after service of this order and in compliance

with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Either with the filing of the answer or

within fifteen (15) days after the answer is filed, the respondent shall move for the petition to be

dismissed or shall explain in writing to the court why the petition cannot be adjudicated by a motion

to dismiss.

No discovery shall be commenced by either party without the express permission of the

court. Unless and until the petitioner demonstrates to this court that the state habeas court's fact

finding procedure was not adequate to afford a full and fair evidentiary hearing or that the state

habeas court did not afford the opportunity for a full, fair, and adequate hearing, this court's

consideration of this habeas petition will be limited to an examination of the evidence and others

matters presented to the state trial, habeas, and appellate courts.

A copy of the petition and any future amendments thereto and a copy of this order shall be

served by the Clerk by certified mail on the respondent and on the Attorney General of the State of

Georgia. The Clerk of the Court is further directed to serve a copy of this order upon the petitioner.

The petitioner is advised that his failure to keep the Clerk of the Court informed as to any change

of address may result in the dismissal of this action.

SO ORDERED, this 10th day of November, 2005.

/s/ Richard L. Hodge

RICHARD L. HODGE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

mh